

There is some similarities between Butterfly conservation in Finland and Monty Python

Some examples



Monitoring of *Xestia borealis*

X. borealis



X. sincera



X. fennica



X. speciosa



X. gelida



Euphydryas maturna
is also causing headache



Sides of small roads are typical habitats



Sides of clearings are even more
typical habitats



Main hostplants: *Melampyrum sylvaticum* and *M. pratense*









Protected reproduction area of *Euphydrias maturna*.

Is there any sense in this or is it just waste of money and other resources?

We are making ourselves foolish if we have to stand for this and pretend that this is "very important" and on the contrary truly important projects are neglected.

There is a serious possibility that this kind of nature conservation raises more anger and frustration among people – the contrast is just too bad!

For the happy end: The psychedelic
Uraniidae is now part of Finnish fauna!



Thank you for your attention!