Butterfly monitoring in North America

Leslie Ries, UMD, Biology and Socio-environmental Synthesis Center







North American butterfly monitoring efforts are little known both at home and abroad



Volunteer (citizen-scientist) driven:

- North American Butterfly Association's Count Program
- "Checklist" program
- ⁹²Groups of people "cover" a count circle (25km radius) and count all the butterflies they see in a single day
- 1-3 times per year
- State-based programs
- "Pollard" transects based on European model
- Transects completed by a single observer every week or two

Academic programs

• Smaller scale but more rigorous protocols

But North American monitoring data are little known and rarely used by scientists



Lack of access is also a problem

•Very little has been explored relative to butterfly range and phenology shifts

•Exceptions come from longterm academic data sets.



Overwintering biology is unique





Monarchs are intensively monitored at every stage



MONITORING PROGRAMS

- NABA: North American Butterfly Association count program
- IL: Illinois monitoring network
- OH: Ohio monitoring network
- FL: Florida monitoring network
- IA: Iowa monitoring network
- MI: Michigan monitoring network
- MLMP: Monarch Larvae Monitoring Project
- MH: Monarch Health
- JN: Journey North
- WWF-Mx: World Wildlife Fund in Mexico
- TMC: Thanksgiving Monarch Counts
- MW: MonarchWatch
- SWM: Southwest Monarchs
- CM: Cape May roost monitoring
- LP: Long Point roost monitoring
- PP: Peninsula Point roost monitoring





The North American Butterfly Knowledge Network

 A new NSF-funded initiative to develop butterfly data resources collected by citizen-scientists



GOALS:

- 1. Public access to monitoring data
- 2. Visualization tools for data exploration -Maps and trends
- 3. Knowledgebase for North American butterflies (US, Can, Mexico) -Life history -Photos
- 4. Analytical approaches for monitoring data

Public access and visualization

- Access and visualization tools for NABA and hopefully regional programs as well
 - Maps and trend graphs
 - Local lists of species (sorted by abundance)



Public-access knowledgebase will be distributed by Encyclopedia of Life



Species information and photos are currently developed for general use



But we want to distribute a structured-language version amenable for analyses

Analytical challenges in analyzing butterfly monitoring data

- Grappling with the biology of invertebrates
 - Detectability based on weather and species
 - Asynchronous nature of emergence and death
 - Phenology is generally more plastic than for vertebrates
- How much can we learn from checklists?
 - Yearly abundance indices for trends analysis
 - Taking phenology into account
- Working with new "opportunistic" data sources
 - Butterflies and Moths of North America
 - Butterflies I've seen
 - General sites for logging observations (observado.org)

My research focus: Mechanistic Species Distribution Models

- Mechanistic models translate environmental conditions (often GDD models) into biologically relevant metrics (survivorship or fecundity) and can be used to predict distributions on large scales.
- BENEFITS:
 - Specific mechanisms are identified a priori
 - Allows independent distribution data to test predictions and identify specific weaknesses and strengths of the models
- •DRAWBACKS:
 - Lack of model development for most organisms
 - Short history of model development
 - Lack of model transferability between species
- •CURRENT FOCUS:
 - •Sachem (*Atalopedes campestris*) •Monarch (*Danaus plexippus*)



