Towards a European Moth Red List









Jurriën van Deijk Mark Parsons Phil Sterling Chris van Swaay Sam Ellis

jurrien.vandeijk@vlinderstichting.nl

Adscita mannii

European Lepidoptera

Butterfly CONSERVATION EUROPE

- ± 8,700 species
- 496 butterflies ¹
- ± 3,200 larger moths
- \pm 5,000 micro moths ²



¹ Wiemers et al (2018) ² Karsholt and Razowski (1996)











Habitats Directive

- 31 butterflies
- 19 moths



18 larger moths 1 micro moth (*Glyphipterix loricatella*)



Photo: Vladimir Savchuk/Lepiforum.de





Investigating which species are threatened or near threatened on a European scale

- 10-year period (2011-2020)
- Following IUCN criteria
- Indispensable help from regional/national experts

Not contacted yet, and willing to help? jurrien.vandeijk@vlinderstichting.nl



European – not national perspective









Geographical scope



- EU27
- Pan-Europe

Pan

 European
 border
 defined by
 the United
 Nations
 Statistics
 Division





Where to start?



Country occurrence

- Karsholt and Razowski (1996)
- Lepiforum.de

Combined databases

- GBIF
- National/regional databases





Current database



All records

- 9.5 million
- 2,655 species
- No data c. 535 species

2011-2020

- >5 million
- 2,492 species
- No data for another 163 species (c. 700 in total)





Widespread species



- 1. Autographa gamma Silver Y
- 2. Noctua pronuba Large Yellow Underwing
- *3. Tyria jacobaeae* Cinnabar
- *4. Macroglossum stellatarum* Hummingbird Hawk-moth
- 5. Camptogramma bilineata Yellow Shell
- <5 records: systematic check of literature undertaken

6-30 records: above underway









SUMMARY OF THE FIVE CRITERIA (A-E) USED TO EVALUATE IF A TAXON BELONGS IN AN IUCN RED LIST THREATENED CATEGORY (CRITICALLY ENDANGERED, ENDANGERED OR VULNERABLE).¹

A. Population size reduction. Population reduction (measured over the longer of 10 years or 3 generations) based on any of A1 to A4							
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable				
A1	≥ 90%	≥ 70%	≥ 50%				
A2, A3 & A4	≥ 80%	≥ 50%	≥ 30%				
 A1 Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, o the past where the causes of the reduction are clearly understood AND have ceased. A2 Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, or such as the second seco	reversible AND uspected in the	(b) an ir approp	direct observation [except A3] an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon a decline in area of occupancy				
past where the causes of reduction may not have ceased understood OR may not be reversible.A3 Population reduction projected, inferred or suspected to	he met in the	any of the (EOO) a	extent of occurrence and/or habitat quality or potential levels of				
 future (up to a maximum of 100 years) [(a) cannot be used if A4 An observed, estimated, inferred, projected or suspective reduction where the time period must include both the pase (up to a max. of 100 years in future), and where the causes of not have ceased OR may not be understood OR may not be 	for A3]. cted population st and the future of reduction may	exploit (e) effects	ation of introduced taxa, zation, pathogens, nts, competitors or				

- Need population trend data (in Europe) for species to use
- Not available for moths, maybe only HD species?





B. Geographic range in the form of either B1 (extent of occurrence) AND/OR B2 (area of occupancy)							
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable				
B1. Extent of occurrence (EOO)	< 100 km ²	< 5,000 km ²	< 20,000 km ²				
B2. Area of occupancy (AOO)	< 10 km ²	< 500 km ²	< 2,000 km ²				
AND at least 2 of the following 3 conditions:							
(a) Severely fragmented OR Number of locations	= 1	≤ 5	≤ 10				
(b) Continuing decline observed, estimated, inferred or projected in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) area, extent and/or quality of habitat; (iv) number of locations or subpopulations; (v) number of mature individuals							

(c) Extreme fluctuations in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) number of locations or subpopulations; (iv) number of mature individuals

- Need accurate data (maximum 2 by 2 square kilometre) for EOO and AOO
- Also information about A, B or C





IUCN Criteria B





(c) Extreme fluctuations in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) number of locations or subpopulations; (iv) number of mature individuals





C. Small population size and decline							
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable				
Number of mature individuals	< 250	< 2,500	< 10,000				
AND at least one of C1 or C2							
C1. An observed, estimated or projected continuing decord of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future):	cline 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer)	20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer)	10% in 10 years or 3 generations (whichever is longer)				
C2. An observed, estimated, projected or inferred contin decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions:							
(a) (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopu	lation ≤ 50	≤ 250	≤ 1,000				
(ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation =	90–100%	95-100%	100%				
(b) Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature indivi	duals						

- Do we have species where we know the exact numbers?
- When an insect species has <10,000 mature individuals it could already be on the verge of extinction. Maybe some endemic species have populations below this threshold?





D. Very small or restricted population							
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable				
D. Number of mature individuals	< 50	< 250	D1. < 1,000				
D2. Only applies to the VU category Restricted area of occupancy or number of locations with a plausible future threat that could drive the taxon to CR or EX in a very short time.	-	D2. typically: AOO < 20 km ² or number of locations ≤ 5					
E. Quantitative Analysis							
	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable				
Indicating the probability of extinction in the wild to be:	≥ 50% in 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max.)	≥ 20% in 20 years or 5 generations, whichever is longer (100 years max.)	≥ 10% in 100 years				

- D: More or less the same as C
- E: Might be possible



Current stage



- Distribution data collection
- Know where every species occur
- Calculate EOO and AOO 2011-2020
 - 2,350 species might be threatened
 - Not enough data (yet)
- Send out questionnaire

 Send out questio 	nnaire	;			C Aecter	Se trei	drend	ettend	de trend	end settend ons
	native 1010	1.500 present	Presence entro	wn presel	2011 decree?	decrease 30.50%	decreation	100%	ole sent	nerease stong fuctuations
Species	hat L'	N/ 5/	71 UN	et. 8	5 40 1	30 1	NU 7	1	CI 20	. <u>5</u> 1-
Abraxas grossulariata										notes
Abraxas sylvata										notes
Abrostola tripartita										notes
Abrostola triplasia										notes





- Questionnaire to regional/national experts
- Combine all knowledge to determine IUCN status (preliminary list of threatened species now generated)
- Regional workshops for threatened species
- Draft species accounts for SIS Connect: Geographical Range, Population, Habitats and Ecology, Threats, Conservation Actions, Rationale for Red List Assessment
- Final report in 2024





- Share (digitally available) data (preferably upload to GBIF) with the project team
- Fill in the questionnaire about your region
- Help us with regional occurrence and trends of species
- Help us with images of larger moths (especially those outside NW Europe): <u>chrismanley010@gmail.com</u>; spreadsheet of missing images; sign permission form
- Start European monitoring scheme to update the next Red List with population trend data? Standardised moths counts using https://butterfly-monitoring.net/ebms-app



Thanks to experts/organizations for any (forthcoming) contributions!









Jurriën van Deijk Mark Parsons Phil Sterling Chris van Swaay Sam Ellis

jurrien.vandeijk@vlinderstichting.nl

Zygaena fausta