



# Larval ecology of Colias palaeno in the N-W Italian Alps



Future of Butterflies in Europe III – 29-31 March 2012 Cristiana Cerrato

## Colias palaeno in Italy





## **Objectives**

Describe the role of biotic and abiotic parameters at a spatial and temporal scale relevant for the caterpillars

Survival

Micro-habitat

Micro-climate



Knowledge of the larval needs by target species may be crucial to desing adequate management practice

Habitat quality is often defined on the basis of the requirements of the immature stages, because they are more specific than those of the adults

This is due to the low or absent mobility as well as the longer life time of the immature stages

e.g. Thomas JA (1991) Rare species conservation: case studies of European butterflies. In: Spellerberg IF, Goldsmith FB, Morris MG (eds) The scientific management of temperate communities for conservation. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, pp 149–197

# **Influencial factors**

#### **Micro-climate**

Micro-climatic cooling linked to nitrogen deposition and global warming by advancing plant growth

Optimal temperature and humidity level

Wallisdevries and van Swaay (2006) Global waming and excess nitrogen may induce butterfly decline by microclimatic cooling – Global Change Biology 12: 1620-1626



#### **Physical characteristics of the larval host plant**

Bigger leaves and/or bigger plants Linkage to micro-climate



Zalucki, Clarke and Malcom (2002) Ecology and behaviour of first instar larvae - Annual Revue of Entomology 47: 361-393

# **Influencial factors**

#### **Chemical characteristics of the larval host plant**

#### Nitrogen Carbon-based secondary metabolites

Throop and Lerdau (2004) – Effects of nitrogen deposition on insect herbivory: Implications for community and ecosystem processes – Ecosystems 7: 109-133 Fisher and Fiedler, (2000): Response of the copper butterfly Lycaena tityrus to increased leaf nitrogen in natural food plants: evidence against the nitrogen limitation Hypothesis – Oecologia 124: 235-241 Stiling and Cornelissen (2007) – How does elevated carbon-dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) affect plant-herbivore interactions? – Global Change Biology 13: 1823-1842

#### Phenological asynchrony

Different responses of different trophic levels

Insect phenology must ensure the temporal match of larvae and larval resources Monovoltine species have a narrow window of opportunity to exploit resources

Singer and Parmesan (2010) Phenological asynchrony between herbivorous insects and their hosts: signal of climate change or pre-existing adaptive strategy? – Phil Trans Royal Society

# Where is *Colias palaeno* in the Alps -Sampling design

18 study areas in 2 valleys

Val Formazza and Val Bognanco

Altitudinal gradient

1600-2300 m a.s.l.

- Dry vs Wet sites
- Sistematically searched for eggs/instars
  3 times during the season / 2 hours for visit
- Characterized in term of LHP
- Random squares 1x1 m (15% minimum coverage by *Vaccinium uliginosum*) -<u>Vegetation structure</u> Height of herbaceous and
- shrubs layer LHP description (height, spring growth, number of
- leaves, lenght and widht of the apical leaf)



# Where is Colias palaeno the Alps?

| id |
|----|
|    |
|    |
|    |



104 caterpillars in 14 study areas

1. Most sites were occupied (14 vs 4)

2. Low densities





Name: Balma Habitat: Peat bog Altitude: 2000 m Dimension: 1.6 ha Number of pre-immaginal stages: 100



Name: Erioforo Habitat: Humid area Altitude: 2000 m Dimension: 1.2 ha Number of pre-immaginal stages: 90

#### Larval survival - Study areas

Name: Arpa Habitat: Alpine heathland Altitude: 1900 m Dimension: 0.5 ha Number of pre-immaginal stages: 170





Name: Curzalma Habitat: Alpine heathland Altitude: 2300 m Dimension: 0.3 ha Number of pre-immaginal stages: 80

Larval survival - Study areas

## Larval survival - Sampling methods

Micro-climate



Larval Host Plant

Data-logger Micro-habitat structure



Mid August Mid September

Spatial and temporal sampling 10 sampling points per site in Italy

 $\operatorname{Life}_{Life} \operatorname{Life}_{20} \operatorname{Life}_{100} \operatorname{Restriction}_{100} \operatorname{Restr$ 

#### Larval survival - Main results

| Habitat    | Altitude | Egg - I | -    | -    | Total |
|------------|----------|---------|------|------|-------|
| Heathland  | 1900 m   | 58.6    | 63.9 | 54.4 | 20.4  |
| Humid area | 2000 m   | 44.9    | 63.2 | 48.6 | 13.8  |
| Peat Bog   | 2000 m   | 55.0    | 60.0 | 39.5 | 13.0  |
| Heathland  | 2300 m   | 48.0    | 45.5 | 32.0 | 7.0   |



Lower survival rate:

- Higher level of polyphenols and tannins

 Higher level of secondary metabolites/nitrogen ratio

 No differences in primary metabolisms among sites





#### Larval survival - Main results

| Habitat    | Altitude | Egg - I | -    | -    | Total |
|------------|----------|---------|------|------|-------|
| Heathland  | 1900 m   | 58.6    | 63.9 | 54.4 | 20.4  |
| Humid area | 2000 m   | 44.9    | 63.2 | 48.6 | 13.8  |
| Peat Bog   | 2000 m   | 55.0    | 60.0 | 39.5 | 13.0  |
| Heathland  | 2300 m   | 48.0    | 45.5 | 32.0 | 7.0   |







Heathland – 1900 m

Peat bog – 2000 m

 Higher survival rate: higher near-ground temperatures

> Friedman ANOVA test, N = 22, df = 3, χ<sup>2</sup> = 53.073, p < 0.0001

Heathland – 2300 m Humid area – 2300 m <sub>SE</sub> – 2300 m

To avoid temporal autocorrelation, we used a subsample of sampling period (2/8 - 5/10): every 3 days mesurements (r <0.5)

## **Egg** - first instar: site and vegetation structure



# N dead = 165 N survived = 187

| Variable            | Dead       | Survived   |
|---------------------|------------|------------|
| Litter coverage (%) | 11.3 (0.8) | 14.2 (0.9) |

| Parameters               | Estimate | Standard | Wald   | р     |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------|-------|
| Interc                   | 0.516    | 0.557    | 0.859  | 0.354 |
| litter coverage          | 0.034    | 0.013    | 6.598  | 0.010 |
| shrubs                   | -0.013   | 0.007    | 3.910  | 0.048 |
| Area: heathland (1900 m) | 0.636    | 0.193    | 10.799 | 0.001 |

## First - second instar: secondary metabolites



|                                  | Estimate | Standard | Wald  | р     |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| Interc                           | 0.865    | 0.290    | 8.914 | 0.003 |
| Polyphenols (%) - Seasonal trend | -0.262   | 0.124    | 4.437 | 0.035 |

## Second - third instar: temperature





N dead = 77 N survived = 67

| ll - III instar | Mean (SE)  |
|-----------------|------------|
| Dead            | 9.6 (0.1)  |
| Survived        | 10.1 (0.1) |

|             | Estimate | Standard | Wald  | р     |
|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| Interc      | 3.997    | 1.561    | 6.552 | 0.010 |
| Temperature | 0.393    | 0.157    | 6.218 | 0.013 |

# Colias palaeno - Comparison with german sites



|                      | Germany |      | Italy |      | M-W Test |         |              |
|----------------------|---------|------|-------|------|----------|---------|--------------|
|                      | Mean    | SE   | Mean  | SE   | Z        | p-value |              |
| Tannins (First)      | 11.61   | 0.24 | 8.01  | 0.16 | 7.455    | 0.000   | Secondary    |
| Polyphenols (First)  | 15.55   | 0.29 | 10.29 | 0.20 | 7.589    | 0.000   | metabolites: |
| Tannins (Second)     | 12.04   | 0.25 | 9.06  | 0.22 | 6.560    | 0.000   | trengheigher |
| Polyphenols (Second) | 15.75   | 0.29 | 12.51 | 0.28 | 6.242    | 0.000   | der Hally    |
| Diff Tannins         | 0.43    | 0.14 | 1.05  | 0.15 | -2.961   | 0.003   |              |
| Diff Polyphenols     | 0.20    | 0.17 | 2.22  | 0.20 | -6.107   | 0.000   | ]            |

# Colias palaeno - Conclusion

## 1. Higher density and survival rate near the timberline

# Different parameters (vegetation structure, secondary metabolites, temperature) are important for different immature stages

## 3. Possibility of phenological asynchrony





