Butterfly resource-use in intensively and extensively managed meadows: experimental data with Maniola jurtina as a model



Future of Butterflies in Europe Wageningen, March 2012

> Julie Lebeau Céline Marchand Renate Wesselingh Hans Van Dyck Biodiversity Research center Earth and Life Science Institute Louvain University, Belgium



Nectar in I and E meadows

Grassland management

Intensive meadows Extensive meadows





I	Nectar	E
Low	Quantity	High
Low	Diversity	High
? (fertilizer ↗ AA in nectar)	Quality	High because diversity?

PhD project



Changing organisms in Changing environements using a resource-based approach

PhD project



Changing organisms in Changing environements using a resource-based approach

<u>Main focus</u>: identify changes in resources (mainly **nectar**) and their effect on common butterflies

PhD project



Changing organisms in Changing environements using a resource-based approach

<u>Main focus</u>: identify changes in resources (mainly **nectar**) and their effect on common butterflies





Fitness consequences of nectar regimes in intensive and extensive meadows for *Maniola jurtina* (meadow brown butterfly)



Nectar for adult butterflies

Nectar

- =
- water
- sugars
- Amino Acids
- other compounds

Nectar for adult butterflies



Nectar for adult butterflies



I	Neclar	E
Low	Quantity	High
Low	Diversity	High
? (fertilizer ↗ AA in nectar)	Quality	High because diversity?



Maniola jurtina

- Univoltine
- Flight period (in Belgium):
 Mid june → mid august
- Adults: \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{P} feed
- Occurs naturally in intensive and extensive meadows





Maniola jurtina

Prefered flower species

Personnal observations





Trifolium pratense





Centaurea jacea



Wild butterflies	 20 males + 20 females Same origin (extensive meadow)
Flight cages 48h	 10 females + 10 males Intensive : 10 red clover (<i>Trifolium pratense</i>) inflo Extensive : 100 knapweed (<i>Centaurea jacea</i>) inflo







Longevity



Unlimited acces to food

Body massLipid content



Body mass



Ε

Fixed effects tests					
Effect	DDL Num.	DDL Res.	F	Pr > F	
sex	1	62	82.72	<.0001	
treatment	1	62.1	7.65	0.0075	
sex*trtmnt	1	62	0.07	0.7940	

Lipid content (potential fecundity)



Longevity





Fixed effects tests					
Effect	DDL Num.	DDL Res.	F	Pr > F	
sex	1	62.3	20.09	<.0001	
treatment	1	62.4	8.87	0.0041	
sex*trtmnt	1	62.1	1.03	0.3141	

Activity: number of flights





Fixed effects tests					
Effect	DDL Num.	DDL Res.	F	Pr > F	
mean_temp	1	25	7.04	0.0136	
mngmt	1	25	4.65	0.0409	
day	1	25	2.09	0.1603	
mngmt*day	1	25	1.22	0.2800	

Summary







Poor nectar availability in intensive meadows

> Adults rely less on nectar intake and more on resources from larval stage

> > Selection for « more capital » breeders in intensive landscapes





Freshly emerged adults

Preliminary analysis



Adults rely less on nectar intake and more on resources from larval stage

> Selection for « more capital » breeders in intensive landscapes?



Acknowledgements





Mr Alexandre, Mr Baudoin, Mr Paquay Marc Migon, Michel Pirnay, Christophe Pels Céline Marchand Murielle Chane, Morgan Dalmas



Behavioural Ecology & Conservation Group



Evolutionary Plant Ecology Group







Acknowledgements

Thank you for your attention





