# Program webinar on 29 March – 09:00-12:30 CET (WEBEX)

	Plenary session					
Time (CET)	Topics	Speakers				
8.45-9.00	<b>Opening online channel</b> for participants to test access to the session					
9.00-9.05	Welcome, short introduction	Sam Ellis, Chair BCE				
9.05-9.10	Opening and welcome	Chair Prof. Dr. Josef Settele				
9:10-9:15	Housekeeping (use of Mentimeter and chat). Mentimeter question	Team supporting the Natura 2000 biogeographical process				
9.15-9.25	Speed-date (Friendship lottery)	-				
9.25-9.40	EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 targets and the pledge and review process	Frank Vassen – DG-ENV D3				
9.40-9.50	Q&A	Chair, FV				
9.50-9.55	Mentimeter question	Team BGP				
9.55-10.10	Status and trends of butterfly species in national Article 17 reports and in the EU red list of butterflies; preventing deterioration and improving conservation status trends	Sue Collins - BCE				
10.10-10.25	The role of protected areas for butterflies and their habitats	Simona Bonelli – Italian BCE partner				
10.25-10.40	Q&A	Chair				
10.40-10.55	Short coffee-tea break					
10.55-11.00	Mentimeter question	Team BGP				
11.00-11.15	Managing butterfly populations and their habitats: current state of knowledge, lessons learnt and recommendations	Sam Ellis - Chair of BCE				
11.15-11.30	Monitoring butterflies	Chris van Swaay – Vlinderstichting & BCE Secretary				
11.30-11.45	Q&A	Chair				
11.45-12.00	The French national species action plan and setting priorities for butterfly species	Xavier Houard (OPIE), Camille Gazay (MNHN)				
12.00-12.10	Mentimeter Question - criteria pledges	Team BGP				
12.10-12.25	Discussion on criteria most used for preparing both pledges (Mentimeter) General discussion	Chair				
12.25-12.30	Wrap-up meeting Wrap-up, Biogeographical process	Josef Settele Frank Vassen				

### Breakout group 29 March – 13:30-15:30 CET – Group A

This session is primarily for participants from Finland, Sweden, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland (8 Member States with intermediate levels of species richness, often with lower pressures from intensification and more from land abandonment)

Session	Time	Who?	Assignment	
0	13.30-13.40	Plenary	Welcome;	
			Explanation of the work process	
1	13.40-14.00	Country	Question: What is currently done in your country regarding	
			butterflies, and what does the MS or the butterfly expert see	
			for opportunities in the pledge and review process?	
2	14.00-14.20	2 MS	Question: Exchange with (neighbouring) country the	
			approach towards the pledge: What opportunities do they	
			see? What are they still struggling with?	
	14.20-14.30		Short break	
3	14.30-15.00	4 MS	Question: In which categories did you exchange	
			opportunities or experiences? Which were outstanding, and	
			why?	
			(categories are: species improvement target; protected area	
			target and strict protection target; improved management	
			and monitoring; filling knowledge gaps; other?).	
4	15.00-15.30	Plenary	1 Minute – preparing, thinking	
			What offers best opportunities to include measures for	
			butterflies in the pledge?	
			Priorities - What is your first step?	
			Plans for follow-up meeting?	

### Division of Break-out groups; CH = chair, Rap = Rapporteur

Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 4
Finland	Finland – Sweden	Finland, Sweden,	
Sweden	CH Theo vd Sluis	Estonia, Latvia	Finland, Sweden,
Estonia	Estonia – Latvia	CH Theo vd Sluis	Czech Republic,
Latvia	CH Sam Ellis	Rap Irma Wynhoff	Estonia, Hungary,
Lithuania	Lithuania – Poland	Lithuania, Poland, Czech	Latvia, Lithuania, Poland
Poland	CH Rene Henkens	Republic, Hungary,	CH Theo vd Sluis
Czech Republic	Czech – Hungary	CH Rene Henkens	Rap Sue Collins
Hungary	CH Martina Sasic	Rap Sue Collins	Nup sue comms

For links to the session, see separate document.

## Breakout group 30 March – 09:30-12:00 CET – Group B

This session is primarily for participants from Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain and France (12 Member States hosting butterflies hotspots, often with knowledge gaps on species distribution and ecological requirements).

Session	Time	Who?	Assignment	
0	9.30-9.40	Plenary	Welcome;	
			Explanation of the work process	
1	9.40-10.00	Country	Question: What is currently done in your country regarding	
			butterflies, and what does the MS or the butterfly expert see	
			for opportunities in the pledge and review process?	
2	10.00-10.30	2 MS	Question: Exchange with (neighbouring) country the	
			approach towards the pledge: What opportunities do they	
			see? What are they still struggling with?	
	10.30-10.45		Short break	
3	10.45-11.15	4 MS	Question: In which categories did you exchange	
			opportunities or experiences? Which were outstanding, and	
			why?	
			(categories are: species improvement target; protected area	
			target and strict protection target; improved management	
			and monitoring; filling knowledge gaps; other?).	
4	11.15-11.45	Plenary	1 Minute – preparing, thinking	
			What offers best opportunities to include measures for	
			butterflies in the pledge?	
			Priorities - What is your first step?	
			Plans for follow-up meeting?	
	11.45-12.00		Wrap-up	

### Division of Break-out groups; CH = chair, Rap = Rapporteur

Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 5
<mark>Bulgaria</mark>	Bulgaria, Romania	Bulgaria, Romania,	
Romania	CH Irma Wynhoff	Cyprus, Malta	
Cyprus	Cyprus, Malta	CH Sam Ellis	Bulgaria, Croatia,
Malta	CH Cristina Sevilleja	Rap Irma Wynhoff	Cyprus, Greece, Italy,
Croatia	Croatia, Greece	Croatia, Greece,	Malta, Portugal,
Greece	CH Rene Henkens	Slovakia, Slovenia	Romania, Slovakia,
Slovakia	Slovakia, Slovenia	CH Rene Henkens	Slovenia, Spain and
Slovenia	CH Sue Collins	Rap Sue Collins	France
Italy	Italy, France	Italy, France, Portugal,	CH Theo vd Sluis
France	CH Sam Ellis	Spain	Rap Sue Collins
Portugal	Portugal, Spain	CH Theo vd Sluis	
Spain	CH Theo vd Sluis	Rap Cristina Sevilleja	

For links to the session, see separate document.

### Breakout group 29 March – 13:30-15:30 CET – Group C

This session is primarily for participants from Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Luxembourg, Netherlands and France (8 Member States with fewer species and better levels of knowledge on species distribution and ecological requirements, and often with high pressures from land use intensification).

Session	Time	Who?	Assignment
0	13.30-13.40	Plenary	Welcome;
			Explanation of the work process
1	13.40-14.00	Country	Question: What is currently done in your country regarding
			butterflies, and what does the MS or the butterfly expert see
			for opportunities in the pledge and review process?
2	14.00-14.20	2 MS	Question: Exchange with (neighbouring) country the
			approach towards the pledge: What opportunities do they
			see? What are they still struggling with?
	14.20-14.30		Short break
3	14.30-15.00	4 MS	Question: In which categories did you exchange
			opportunities or experiences? Which were outstanding, and
			why?
			(categories are: species improvement target; protected area
			target and strict protection target; improved management
			and monitoring; filling knowledge gaps; other?).
4	15.00-15.30	Plenary	1 Minute – preparing, thinking
			What offers best opportunities to include measures for
			butterflies in the pledge?
			Priorities - What is your first step?
			Plan a follow-up meeting?

### Division of Break-out groups; CH = chair, Rap = Rapporteur

Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 5
<mark>Ireland</mark>	Ireland, Denmark	Ireland, Denmark,	
Denmark	CH Martina Sasic	Austria, Germany	Ireland, Denmark,
Austria	Austria, Germany	CH Theo vd Sluis	Austria, Germany,
Germany	CH Theo vd Sluis	Rap Sue Collins	Belgium,
Belgium	Belgium, Netherlands	Belgium, Netherlands,	Netherlands, Luxembourg, France
Netherlands	CH Sam Ellis	Luxembourg, France	CH Theo vd Sluis
Luxembourg	Luxembourg, France	CH Rene Henkens	Rap Sue Collins
France	CH Rene Henkens	Rap Irma Wynhoff	Nup sue comins

For links to the session, see separate document.